

A More General Specification Language

Application of Axiomatic Language to CP

Walter W. Wilson
Lockheed Martin

Progress Toward the Holy Grail
August 28, 2017

<http://axiomaticlanguage.org/>

Language Goals

1. pure specification – what, not how
2. minimal, but extensible
3. metalanguage – able to imitate other languages

Specification by Enumeration

Idea: Program external behavior defined by infinite set of symbolic expressions that enumerate inputs and corresponding outputs.

Program that reads input file and writes output file:

(Program <input> <output>)

Enumeration of program to sort lines of a text file:

(Program () ()) — empty input file

...

(Program ("dog" "pig" "cat") — 3-line input
("cat" "dog" "pig")) — sorted output

...

Recipe

- minimal, pure, definite Prolog with Lisp syntax
- higher-order generalization [HiLog]
- string variables

The Core Language (1)

Axioms generate valid expressions.

expression:

atom, – `abc, `+

expression variable, – %x, %1

sequence of ≥ 0 expressions and **string variables**

– (`M () \$1 %)

The Core Language (1)

Axioms generate valid expressions.

expression:

atom, – `abc, `+

expression variable, – %x, %1

sequence of ≥ 0 expressions and **string variables**

– (`M () \$1 %)

axiom: conclusion expr and ≥ 0 condition exprs

<conclu> < *<cond1>*, ..., *<condn>*.

<conclu>. ! unconditional axiom

The Core Language (2)

axiom instance: substitute values for variables

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{'A } \%x \text{ } \$1) &< (\text{'B } \%x), \text{ } (\text{'C } \$1). \\ \rightarrow (\text{'A } `x \text{ } `u \text{ } ()) &< (\text{'B } `x), \text{ } (\text{'C } `u \text{ } ()) . \end{aligned}$$

The Core Language (2)

axiom instance: substitute values for variables

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{'A } \%x \ $1) < (\text{'B } \%x), (\text{'C } \$1). \\ \rightarrow & (\text{'A } `x \ `u ()) < (\text{'B } `x), (\text{'C } `u ()). \end{aligned}$$

valid expressions: If all conditions of an axiom instance are valid expressions, the conclusion is valid.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{'a } `b). \\ & ((\%) \ $ \$) < (\% \$). \\ \rightarrow & (\text{'a } `b), \\ & (((`a) \ `b \ `b), \\ & ((((`a)) \ `b \ `b \ `b \ `b), \\ & \dots \end{aligned}$$

Syntax Extensions

single char in single quotes:

```
'A' = (`char (^0 `1 `0 `0 `0 `0 `0 `1))
```

char string in single quotes within sequence:

```
(... 'abc' ...) = (... 'a' 'b' 'c' ...)
```

char string in double quotes:

```
"abc" = ('abc') = ('a' 'b' 'c')
```

symbol not starting with special char:

```
abc = (` "abc")
```

Example - Natural Numbers

Set of natural numbers:

```
(num (`z)).  
(num (`s $)) < (num ($)).  
→ (num (`s `s `z))
```

Addition of natural numbers:

```
(plus %n (`z) %n) < (num %n).  
(plus %1 (`s $2) (`s $3)) <  
(plus %1 ($2) ($3)).  
→ (plus (`s `z) (`s `z) (`s `s `z))
```

SEND + MORE = MONEY

! top-level axiom for generating solution as a valid expr

```
(solution: $eqn)<
  (== ($eqn) (%S %E %N %D + %M %O %R %E = %M %O %N %E %Y)),  

  (different-digit (%S %E %N %D %M %O %R %Y)),  

    ! -- distinct 1-digit symbols  

  (/= %S 0), (/= %M 0),  

  (equation ($eqn)).           ! equation must be satisfied  

    ! -- grammar merges adjacent digits to form number  

→ (solution: 9 5 6 7 + 1 0 8 5 = 1 0 6 5 2)
```

See www.axiomaticlanguage.org/PTHG/SEND_MORE.txt for more details and .../PTHG/util/*.txt for utility predicates.

Sudoku Program

Sudoku Puzzle

input file:

—	1	—	—	8	—	—	—	—
6	5	—	7	—	4	—	—	—
—	—	—	2	4	—	9	—	—
—	9	—	—	7	6	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

...

Input:

—	1	—	—	8	—	—	—	—
6	5	—	—	7	—	—	4	—
—	—	—	—	2	4	—	9	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	9	—	—	—	—	7	6	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

output file →

See .../PTHG/Sudoku.txt

Solution:

2	1	4	—	9	8	6	—	5	7	3
6	5	9	—	7	3	1	—	4	2	8
8	7	3	—	5	2	4	—	1	9	6
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
4	9	8	—	2	1	7	—	6	3	5
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

...

Blend Program

Find lowest cost blend of 2 feed ingredients with sufficient nutrients.

```
! x1,x2,xf,cost: - optimal relative ingredient kg quantities and cost  
  
(x1,x2,xf,cost: %x1 %x2 %xf %cost)< ! relative int ingredients & N-kg cost  
    (== %N 100), ! total quantity being considered -> resolution of solution  
        ! -- could choose higher-resolution solution here, say, parts per 1000  
(iota_d %N %0-N), ! decimal numbers from 0..N (APL fn name)  
(cartesian %0-N %0-N %0-N %args), ! % ranges of the 3 ingredients  
    ! -- This generalized cartesian product forms 3-element tuples  
    !     of all combinations of integers from 0..N.  
(constraints ! -- arg vars _0, _1, _2 represent ingredients filler,1,2  
    ((_0 + _1 + _2 = %N) ! 3 natural number vars sum to N  
    (100 * _1 + 200 * _2 >= 90 * %N) ! A nutrient grams required  
    ( 80 * _1 + 150 * _2 >= 50 * %N) ! B  
    ( 40 * _1 + 20 * _2 >= 20 * %N) ! C  
    ( 10 * _1 >= 2 * %N) ! D  
    ) ! each constraint formula must evaluate to true  
    %args %selected_args), ! %selected args are tuples that satisfy cnstrs  
(minimize (40 * _1 + 60 * _2) %selected_args %cost (%xf %x1 %x2)).
```

See .../PTHG/blend.txt

Conclusion

- Specifications – software engineering benefit
 - Smaller, more readable, more reusable, more correct
 - Good for CP
- Minimal & pure – well-suited to proof
 - Equivalence of specification and program
 - Prove assertions to validate specification
- Implementation grand challenge
 - Transformation of specifications to programs
 - A harder Holy Grail